1. Write the subroutine houseqr to compute the QR factorization.
   houseqr.m should have as its first line:

   function [A,u1] = houseqr(A)

   It should implement the Householder QR factorization without explicitly forming Q. The \( \tilde{u}_k \) vectors should overwrite \( A \) in the strictly lower triangle, and the \( u_k(1) \) scalars should be stored in \( u1(k) \). \( R \) will be stored in the upper triangle of \( A \).

2. Write the subroutine houseqtact to compute \( Q^Tb \), given the “decapitated” \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \) stored in the strictly lower triangle of \( Qu \) and their “heads” in \( u1 \). houseqact.m should have as its first line:

   function y = houseqtact(Qu,u1,b)

   It should implement the algorithm we discussed in class/handout to find \( Q^Tb \).

3. Also send me the output, prog6run, of the test routine SCProg6test.m

Notes:

(a) For efficiency, we do not form \( Q \) explicitly when doing the Householder QR factorization, we just save the \( \tilde{u}_i \) over the columns of \( A \). This is why we need the HOUSEQTACT routine.

(b) Please notice how this admittedly opaque storage scheme results in remarkably little data movement and efficient memory use.

(c) Remember to document your code. This means using comment lines to describe all input and output variables, and to describe what the code is doing when it is not obvious to the uninitiated.

(d) You might be interested to see how this method compares to your mgs and cgs code. If you have the interest, try running a test to see which, if either, of xqr or xmsgs or xcgs is consistently closer to xtrue.